BLM – Folsom Field Office

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1a Future time frame for visitation numbers cannot be used.
- #1iii Total acres of open riding available for OHV Recreation does not appear accurate.
- #1iv Total OHV visitation does not appear to be supported.
- #1c Recreational Management Information System (RMIS) does not appear to have been used for OHV visitor information as required by our regulations.
- #2 Check marks for maps indicating appropriate OHV use type does not seem to be supported by the maps provided.
- #4 Information that applicant's OHV Program was supported by OHV Trust Fund money appears to be inaccurate. This is the first time applicant has submitted a grant application within the last two year.
- #5 It appears that applicant checking 75% to 99% of deliverables accomplished is inaccurate. This is the first time applicant has submitted a grant applicant within the last two years.

Restoration Project G08-02-XX-R01 Project Description

- As currently written, it does not appear that the proposed project relates to OHV Recreation. A clear nexus to OHV activity needs to be provided.
- As currently written, various activities listed in the project description do not appear to be appropriate restoration activities.

Project Cost Estimate

 As currently written, the project description does not appear to support the equipment purchases.

Evaluation Criteria

No comments.